ANONYMISED PROJECT RECORD · VERSION 1.0

Batch Quality Inspection

Anonymised QC & pre-shipment inspection report excerpt

Product category: interactive flat-panel displays	Case date withheld for anonymisation
Service: Batch Quality Inspection	Confidential details removed

This sample is based on a supplied historical project note. Client, manufacturer, component-brand names, exact model identifiers, calendar dates, serial numbers and photo metadata are omitted. Statements attributed to the source are not represented as independently re-verified facts.

Executive summary

A production-follow-up record describes checks against an approved bill of materials (BOM), incoming-component review, assembly-stage checks, functional testing and final inspection. A component-identity mismatch against the approved BOM was recorded for one size group before assembly. The source says the supplier corrected the kits after discussion. Later checks recorded cosmetic/display findings; the source states they were corrected before packing.

Scope and reference

Product: interactive flat-panel displays in three size groups. Reference: approved BOM and available project specifications. The supplied record does not state total order quantity, inspected-unit count, sampling scheme, defect acceptance limits or the detailed functional-test protocol.

BOM / incoming parts	One size group contained a display module whose maker/model marking differed from the approved BOM.	Escalated before assembly. The source records corrected kits after supplier discussion; independent recheck evidence was not attached.
Assembly / housing	A minor corner-joint gap was recorded during line checks.	The source says the line setup was adjusted. No measurement tolerance or corrective-action photo is provided.
Display surface	Dust/foreign particles between display and protective glass, plus isolated sub-pixel findings, were recorded over inspection rounds.	The source states findings were corrected. Unique unit IDs, acceptance criteria, before/after evidence and a reconciled total are absent.
Functional checks	Testing of assembled units is recorded.	Test plan, limits, results and coverage are not included in the supplied note.
Packing / handoff	Inspected units were packed, palletised, wrapped and moved to storage pending loading.	The source records readiness for loading; dispatch itself is not evidenced.

Findings above are transcribed at a high level from the source note; defect counts are intentionally not aggregated because unique unit references were not supplied.


Example: requirement → observation → disposition

Requirement: the display module must match the approved BOM. Observation: incoming identification for one size group differed from that reference. Disposition in the source record: the issue was discussed with the supplier and the assembly kits were reported corrected before build. Verification note: the supplied file contains no independent post-correction label check or linked unit-level evidence.


Conclusion and decision boundary

This excerpt does not support an AQL result or a statistical pass/fail conclusion: lot size, sample size, sampling level and acceptance numbers are unavailable. It also does not establish that every unit was defect-free. The historical note reports that recorded issues were corrected and the inspected goods were prepared for storage/loading; a buyer should request the underlying checklist, unit-level reconciliation and any rework verification before relying on a shipment decision.

Context photos from the supplied factory visit. They illustrate work areas/components only; they are not direct evidence of the specific findings or corrective actions described below.



Production components staged in protective packaging · context photo



Panel assemblies laid out at the production workbench · context photo